

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior 82 (2005) 488 – 494

PHARMACOLOGY *RIOCHEMISTRY* **AND REHAVIOR**

www.elsevier.com/locate/pharmbiochembeh

2-Phenylethylamine in combination with l-deprenyl lowers the striatal level of dopamine and prolongs the duration of the stereotypy in mice

Junichi Kitanaka a,*,1, Nobue Kitanaka a,1, Tomohiro Tatsuta a,b, Motohiko Takemura a

^a Department of Pharmacology, Hyogo College of Medicine, 1-1 Mukogawa-cho, Nishinomiya, Hyogo 663-8501, Japan b Department of Neuropsychiatry, Hyogo College of Medicine, 1-1 Mukogawa-cho, Nishinomiya, Hyogo 663-8501, Ja

Received 1 June 2005; received in revised form 10 October 2005; accepted 13 October 2005 Available online 18 November 2005

Abstract

2-Phenylethylamine (PEA)-induced stereotypy in rodents is suggested to model psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia. It is reported that PEA induces dopamine release in the striatum in vivo and in vitro. The present study analyzed the PEA-induced stereotypy and possible associated brain dopamine metabolism in mice. Using male ICR mice treated with a combination of PEA (100 mg/kg, i.p.) and increasing doses of l-deprenyl $(0-10 \text{ mg/kg}, \text{ s.c.})$, we examined (1) the behavioral profile of stereotypy (rating the scores), and (2) the tissue levels of dopamine and its metabolites by high-performance liquid chromatography. The stereotypic scores reached a plateau level at 10 min which lasted until 30 min after a single administration of 100 mg/kg PEA. The stereotyped behavior completely disappeared 45 min after PEA administration. Pretreatment with ldeprenyl (0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg, s.c.) dose-dependently prolonged the duration of PEA-induced stereotypy. Notably, pretreatment with l-deprenyl dose-dependently increased the continuous sniffing. Treatment with PEA in combination of l-deprenyl (1 and 10 mg/kg) significantly reduced the level of dopamine in the region of the striatum and nucleus accumbens, compared with control animals. These results suggest that PEA in combination with l-deprenyl prolonged the duration of the stereotypy (particularly, continuous sniffing) while reducing the striatal level of dopamine.

 $© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$

Keywords: 2-Phenylethylamine; l-Deprenyl; Stereotypy; Dopamine; Monoamine oxidase; Striatum

1. Introduction

2-Phenylethylamine (PEA) is one of the endogenous socalled trace amines found throughout the brain of mammals. It is formed by the enzymatic decarboxylation of the precursor molecule l-phenylalanine, and is degraded rapidly by monoamine oxidase (MAO)-B (Sa[belli et al., 1978; Boulton et al.,](#page-6-0) 1990; Paterson et al., 1990; Berry, 2004). An increasing body of evidence suggests that PEA acts physiologically and pathologically as a neuromodulator of classical monoamine neurotransmitters (dopamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), and noradrenaline) in the brain under certain conditions, regulating neuronal excitability. However, the physiological relevance of PEA has not been established, because the tissue level of PEA is as low as $1-2$ ng/g tissue (B[erry, 2004\).](#page-5-0)

Similar to amphetamines in structure, PEA when administered in high doses (in some cases, in combination with MAO-B inhibitors) induces amphetamine-like behavioral responses in rodents, such as hyperlocomotion, behavioral sensitization, and stereotypy (J[ackson, 1972; Braestrup et al., 1975; Moja et al](#page-6-0)., 1976; Borison et al., 1977; Dourish, 1981, 1982; Ortmann et al., 1984; Timár and Knoll, 1986; Lapin, 1996). In general, amphetamine-induced stereotypy in rodents is considered a model of the psychotic symptoms of schizophrenia (S[eiden e](#page-6-0)t al., 1993; Gainetdinov et al., 2001). [Borison et al. \(1977\)](#page-5-0) suggested that rodents displaying stereotypy induced by PEA are a better animal model for schizophrenia, since thioridazine and clozapine, antipsychotics with fewer extrapyramidal effects, preferentially inhibit PEA- but not amphetamineinduced stereotypy. The finding of the abnormal excretion of PEA in the urine of schizophrenics supports Borison's hypothesis ([Potkin et al., 1979; Yoshimoto et al., 1987\).](#page-6-0)

The mechanism(s) by which PEA exerts stereotypy in rodents have not been fully elucidated. The primary molecular

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 798 45 6333; fax: +81 798 45 6332.

E-mail address: kitanaka-hyg@umin.ac.jp (J. Kitanaka).¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{0091-3057/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2005.10.004

target of PEA is assumed to be a dopamine transporter (DAT) ([Sotnikova et al., 2004\)](#page-6-0), leading to the release of dopamine in the region of the striatum ([Bailey et al., 1987; Nakamura et a](#page-5-0)l., 1998), similar to the case of amphetamines ([Seiden et a](#page-6-0)l., 1993). Enhancement of striatal dopamine neurotransmission initially activated by the DAT-mediated release of dopamine is a possible pathway through which PEA induces the stereotypy. However, the association of the PEA-induced stereotypy with brain dopamine metabolism is still unclear.

PEA-induced stereotypy is potentiated in rodents when the animals are treated with PEA in combination with selective MAO-B inhibitors ([Braestrup et al., 1975; Moja et al., 197](#page-5-0)6; Ortmann et al., 1984; Timár and Knoll, 1986). This is because PEA is selectively deaminated by MAO-B ([Yang and Neff, 197](#page-6-0)3; Paterson et al., 1990; Berry, 2004) and therefore the duration of the action of PEA might be extended. Using l-deprenyl as a MAO-B inhibitor ([Gerlach et al., 1996\)](#page-6-0), we examined the behavioral profile of stereotypy induced by PEA in combination with increasing doses of *l*-deprenyl and its association with dopamine metabolism in the striatum of the mouse.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

Male ICR mice (5 weeks old at purchase; Japan SLC, Shizuoka, Japan) were housed in groups of 8 (cage size, $37 \times 22 \times 15$ cm) in a temperature— (22 \pm 2 °C) and humidity— $(50\pm 10\%)$ controlled environment under a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 0700 h) with food and water available ad libitum except during the observations of stereotyped behavior and measurements of locomotor activity using the Animex apparatus (see Section 2.2). Animal handling and care were conducted according to the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Academy Press 1996; NIH publication number $85-23$, revised 1996) and all experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Research Committee. Every effort was made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering. After at least 7 days' habituation in this facility, mice were used in the experiments as follows.

2.2. Locomotor activities

Mice were weighed $(30-38 \text{ g} \text{ on Day } 1)$ and divided randomly into eight groups. All mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 0.1 ml/10 g of sterile saline on Day 1. This procedure was required to reduce the variance of the data on locomotor activity on Day 2 [\(Kitanaka et al., 2003, 2005](#page-6-0)). On Day 2, the mice in each group were subjected to treatment as follows: Group S/S ($n = 14$ and $n = 8$ for A and B of Figs. 1–3, respectively), 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.05 ml/10 g saline injection (s.c.); S/P ($n=16$), 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.05 ml/10 g saline injection (s.c.);

Fig. 1. Stereotypic scores after a single administration of PEA (100 mg/kg, i.p.) (A) or saline (B) in mice that were pretreated for 2 h with 0.1, 1, or 10 mg/kg (s.c.) of l-deprenyl or saline on Day 2. Values are shown as means with the standard errors of the means. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, compared with Group S/P (Student's t test).

Fig. 2. Stereotyped behavior in mice in response to 100 mg/kg PEA (A) or saline (B) in combination with 0.1 , 1, and 10 mg/kg l-deprenyl. Behavior was scored in 30-s bins, and total values for 1 h are shown. H, head bobbing; S, sniffing; C, circling; N, nail/wood chip biting. Values are shown as means with the standard errors of the means. $*_{p} < 0.05$, $*_{p} < 0.01$, $*_{p} < 0.001$, significantly different between the two groups indicated (Student's t test). N.D., not detected.

D0.1/P ($n = 8$), 100 mg/kg 2-PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.1 mg/kg *l*-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D1.0/P $(n=8)$, 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 1 mg/kg l-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D10/P $(n=8)$, 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 10 mg/kg *l*-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D0.1/S ($n=8$), 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.1 mg/kg *l*-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D1.0/S ($n = 8$), 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 1.0 mg/kg *l*-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D10/S ($n = 8$), 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 10 mg/kg l -deprenyl injection (s.c.). After the final injection, all mice were subjected to measurements of locomotor activity. The doses of drugs refer to the weight of salt. All drugs were dissolved in sterile saline. l-Deprenyl was administered s.c. in a volume of 0.05 ml/10 g of body weight. The same volume of saline was used for the control. PEA was administered i.p. in a volume of 0.1 ml/10 g of body weight. The horizontal locomotor activity was measured in a transparent acrylic test box $(30 \times 30 \times 35 \text{ cm})$ with ca. 25 g of wood chips on the Animex Auto apparatus (System MK-110; Muromachi Kikai Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) in a quiet room as described previously ([Kitanaka et al., 2003\).](#page-6-0) All experiments were conducted between 9:00 and 16:00.

2.3. Stereotyped behavior

Animals in the transparent acrylic test box undergoing locomotion measurements were simultaneously observed for stereotypy as scored for 1 h after the drug administration by an

Fig. 3. Horizontal locomotor activity after a single administration of PEA (100 mg/kg, i.p.) (A) or saline (B) in mice pretreated with 0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg (s.c.) of ldeprenyl or saline. Values are shown as means with the standard errors of the means. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.01, compared with Group S/P (Student's t test).

observer blind to the treatments, using a rating scale for stereotypies described below. Behavior was broken down into 30-s bins, and a predominant behavior was recorded for each bin. Behaviors scored were quiet wake/sleeping, ambulating, rearing, vigorous grooming, head bobbing (up- and down-movements of the head), continuous sniffing with apparent exploratory behavior, circling, and nail and/or wood chip biting, according to the method of [Weinshenker et al. \(2002\)](#page-6-0) with a slight modification. Ambulating and rearing were considered locomotor/exploratory behaviors and the last four were considered stereotypies. The cumulative numbers of bins in which stereotypies were observed for every 5 min are shown (maximal value= 10).

2.4. Measurement of levels of dopamine and metabolites

After the behavioral analyses, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and decapitation 1 h after the drug injection. The brains were immediately removed, and the striata were isolated, weighed, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue levels of dopamine and the metabolites were quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with electrochemical detection as described previously ([Kitana](#page-6-0)ka et al., 2005) as follows: each frozen brain sample was homogenized with a Teflon/glass homogenizer in $10-20$ vol. (w/v) of ice-cold 0.1 N perchloric acid with 30 μ M Na₂EDTA containing 3,4-dihydroxybenzylamine hydrobromide and isoproterenol as internal standards for the catechols and for the indoles, respectively. The homogenates were centrifuged at 10,000 $\times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C and the supernatants were filtered through a 0.20 - μ m membrane filter (Millipore Co., Bedford, MA, USA). The filtrates $(10 \mu l)$ were injected directly into an HPLC system (system controller, model SCL-10A; auto-injector, model SIL-10A; pump, model LC-10AD; Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a reversed-phase ODS-column (MCM column 150; 4.6×150 mm; MC Medical, Inc., Osaka, Japan) and an electrochemical detector (Coulochem Model 5100A, ESA, Inc., Chelmsford, MA, USA). The column temperature was maintained at $24 \degree C$, and the detector potentials were set at $+0.40, +0.15$ and -0.35 V on the conditioning cell, and Detectors 1 and 2, respectively. The mobile phase was a 1000: 35.2: 85.8 (v/v) mixture of a buffer (50 mM Na_2HPO_4 , 50 mM citric acid, 4.4 mM 1-heptanesulfonic acid and 0.1 mM Na₂EDTA, pH 3.0), acetonitrile and methanol, and the flow rate was set at 0.9 ml/min.

2.5. Reagents

PEA hydrochloride was purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan). R-(-)-deprenyl hydrochloride (l-deprenyl) and all standard reagents for HPLC were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals used were of the highest purity commercially available.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Values are shown as means with the standard errors of the means (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed using a oneway or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with or without repeated measures followed by the Tukey –Kramer test or Student's t test as indicated. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Stereotypic scores after the administration of PEA and l-deprenyl in combination to mice

In our preliminary experiments, single administrations of 5 and 10 mg/kg (i.p.) of PEA had no effect on spontaneous locomotion in male ICR mice (data not shown). The hyperactive locomotion, but not stereotypy, was observed when mice were administered 50 mg/kg of PEA. A single administration of PEA (100 mg/kg) induced stereotypy as described below, although convulsions with high frequency were observed when mice were administered 200 mg/kg of PEA. Therefore, a dose of 100 mg/kg of PEA was chosen in the present study.

The stereotypic scores reached a plateau level 10 min after a single administration of 100 mg/kg PEA which lasted until 30 min after the administration in S/P mice [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)A). The stereotyped behavior completely disappeared 45 min after the administration in S/P mice. Pretreatment with l-deprenyl (0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg, s.c.) dose-dependently prolonged the PEAinduced stereotypy (repeated-measures two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test, Time \times Pretreatment, $F(36, 468) = 13.706$, $p < 0.001$) [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)A). The stereotypic scores in D10/P mice maintained a plateau level until at least 1 h after the PEA administration when mice were pretreated with 10 mg/kg of *l*-deprenyl. In S/S mice (control animals), a stereotypy-like continuous sniffing with apparent exploratory behavior was scored by the observer blind to the treatments between 0 and 5 min after the second saline injection, but the behavioral response disappeared 10 min after the injection [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)A).

Pretreatment with l-deprenyl per se did not induce stereotyped behaviors at the dose between $0.1-10$ mg/kg (s.c.) $(F(36, 364)=1.571, p=0.229)$ [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)B).

3.2. Observed stereotyped behaviors

The observed stereotyped behaviors were classified into four groups: head bobbing (H), continuous sniffing with apparent exploratory behavior (S), circling (C), and nail and/ or wood chip biting (N). The frequency of each observed stereotyped behavior for 1 h and the total count of all observed stereotyped behaviors $(H + S + C + N)$ are shown for each mice group [\(Fig. 2](#page-2-0)A). Pretreatment with l-deprenyl (0.1, 1, and 10 mg/kg, s.c.) dose-dependently increased both the PEA-induced continuous sniffing and the total count (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test, $F(3, 36) = 13.003$, $p < 0.001$ and $F(3, 36) = 34.81$, $p < 0.001$, respectively), but not headbobbing, circling, or nail and/or wood chip biting $(F(3, 36) = 1.409, p = 0.2561, F(3, 36) = 0.199, p = 0.8962,$ and $F(3, 36)=0.708$, $p=0.5534$, respectively). In mice pretreated with l-deprenyl per se (no PEA challenge), no significant change in the number of stereotyped behavior was observed between each group $(F(3, 28) = 0.454, p = 0.7162,$ $F(3, 28) = 0.106$, $p = 0.9557$, and $F(3, 28) = 0.188$, $p = 0.904$ for continuous sniffing, nail and/or wood chip biting, and the total count, respectively) (Fi[g. 2B\).](#page-2-0)

3.3. Horizontal locomotor activity

The horizontal locomotion was measured simultaneously with stereotypic scores, and the cumulative counts for every 5 min were plotted until 1 h after PEA administration (Fi[g. 3A\).](#page-2-0) The time courses of the locomotion in D1.0/P and D10/P mice were significantly different from S/P (repeated-measures twoway ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test, Time \times Pre-Pretreatment, $F(33, 432) = 1.796$, $p < 0.01$). As shown in Fi[g.](#page-2-0) 3B, pretreatment with l-deprenyl per se had no stimulatory effect on spontaneous locomotion at the dose between $0.1 - 10$ mg/kg (s.c.) $(F(33, 336) = 1.018, p = 0.4453)$.

3.4. Tissue levels of dopamine and metabolites and apparent dopamine turnover

Treatment with PEA in combination with l-deprenyl significantly reduced the level of dopamine in the striatum + nucleus accumbens of D1.0/P and D10/P mice, compared with S/P mice (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey–Kramer test, $F(4, 43) = 20.549$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 1). The tissue level of 3.4dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC) and homovanillic acid

Table 1

The brains were dissected 1 h after the 2-phenylethylamine (PEA) challenge (100 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline. Values are expressed as nanograms per milligram of wet tissues (mean \pm SEM, $n = 8 - 14$).

S/S, 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.05 ml/10 g saline injection (s.c.); S/P, 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.05 ml/10 g saline injection (s.c.); D0.1/P, 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.1 mg/kg l-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D1.0/P, 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 1 mg/kg ldeprenyl injection (s.c.); D10/P, 100 mg/kg PEA injection (i.p.) 2 h after 10 mg/ kg l-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D0.1/S, 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 0.1 mg/kg l-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D1.0/S, 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 1 mg/kg l-deprenyl injection (s.c.); D10/S, 0.1 ml/10 g saline injection (i.p.) 2 h after 10 mg/kg *l*-deprenyl injection (s.c.). a $p < 0.05$, compared with S/S group (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-

Kramer test).

 $\frac{b}{p}$ p < 0.05, compared with S/P group (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer test).

(HVA) did not differ among the groups $(F(4, 43)=2.265,$ $p=0.0778$ and $F(4, 43)=0.495$, $p=0.7395$, respectively).

Treatment with saline in combination with l-deprenyl significantly reduced the level of DOPAC in the striatum + nucleus accumbens of D10/S mice, compared with S/S mice $(F(3, 28)=5.518, p<0.01)$. Tissue levels of dopamine and HVA did not differ among the groups $(F(3, 28) = 0.558$, $p=0.6474$ and $F(3, 28)=0.873$, $p=0.4667$, respectively).

4. Discussion

PEA resembles amphetamines in structure, and when administered to rodents induces amphetamine-like behavioral responses in a complex manner ([Berry, 2004; Sotnikova et al](#page-5-0)., 2004). PEA-induced stereotypy has been studied in rats ([Braestrup et al., 1975; Borison et al., 1977; Dourish, 1981](#page-5-0); Ortmann et al., 1984; Timár and Knoll, 1986) and in mice ([Dourish, 1982; Lapin, 1996; Sotnikova et al., 2004\).](#page-5-0) L[api](#page-6-0)n (1996) reported that when mice were injected with PEA at a dose of 100 mg/kg (i.p.), stereotypy was observed instead of the hyperlocomotion observed at lower doses of PEA. In the present study, the PEA (100 mg/kg, i.p.)-induced abnormal behavioral responses in male ICR mice consisted of three phases in terms of scores of observed stereotypy; an initial phase $(0-10)$ min after injection; forward walking, continuous sniffing, circling, and head bobbing), a plateau phase $(10-30)$ min; continuous sniffing, nail/wood chip biting, and head bobbing), and a recovery phase (30 –45 min; forward walking, continuous sniffing, and vigorous grooming) (F[ig. 1A](#page-1-0)). This observation was in good agreement with that reported by [Dourish \(1982\).](#page-5-0)

As shown in F[ig. 2A](#page-2-0), the proportion of sniffing in the PEAinduced stereotypy increased dependent on the dose of ldeprenyl (28.1%, 34.6%, 42.3%, and 54.9% in S/P, D0.1/P, D1.0/P, and D10/P mice, respectively), and seemed to be associated with the decrease in the proportion of nail/wood chip biting (46.1, 44.5, 32.3, and 22.3% in S/P, D0.1/P, D1.0/P, and D10/P mice, respectively). This suggests that the change in the proportion of sniffing in the PEA-induced stereotypy is under the influence of the degree of MAO-B inhibition, since ldeprenyl is a selective, irreversible MAO-B inhibitor ([Gerlac](#page-6-0)h et al., 1996). This is supported by earlier evidence that increasing doses of l-deprenyl potentiated PEA-induced continuous sniffing in rats ([Braestrup et al., 1975; Ortman](#page-5-0)n et al., 1984).

It should be noted that there is a difference in stereotypy between PEA- and methamphetamine (METH)-treated mice, since our observations showed that a single administration of METH (10 mg/kg, i.p.) induced a stereotypy consisting of nail/ wood chip biting (52% of the total stereotypic score), vigorous grooming with excess saliva production (45%), and continuous sniffing (3%) ([Tatsuta et al., manuscript in press\).](#page-6-0)

The effect of PEA in combination with l-deprenyl on spontaneous locomotor activity was examined (F[ig. 3A](#page-2-0)). In S/P mice (treated with PEA alone), the data indicated no ''biphasic'' stimulatory effect on the spontaneous locomotor activity reported by J[ackson \(1972\).](#page-6-0) This might be due to the

difference in the doses of PEA used (100 vs. 75 mg/kg, i.p.) or mouse strains (ICR vs. Quackenbush Swiss mice) between us and [Jackson \(1972\).](#page-6-0) The locomotor activity in D1.0/P and D10/ P mice significantly increased compared with that in S/P mice ([Fig. 3A](#page-2-0)), although the locomotor activity in D0.1/S, D1.0/S and D10/S mice was similar to that of S/S mice ([Fig. 3B](#page-2-0)). In D10/P mice, the increase in locomotor activity was accompanied by a significant increase in continuous sniffing ([Fig. 2A](#page-2-0)). This is reasonable, because two types of behavior (i.e. horizontal stimulated locomotion and continuous sniffing) occurred simultaneously in the stereotypy period.

It is of interest to examine the association of brain dopamine metabolism with the PEA-induced stereotypy, particularly continuous sniffing. Braestrup et al. (1975) reported that ldeprenyl at a dose of 8 mg/kg potentiated PEA (40 mg/kg) induced sniffing in rats and decreased the tissue level (whole brain) of DOPAC by ca. 50%. As shown in [Table 1,](#page-4-0) the level of dopamine in the striatum + nucleus accumbens of D1.0/P and D10/P mice significantly decreased, compared with that in S/P mice ([Table 1,](#page-4-0) PEA challenge). Pretreatment with l-deprenyl per se had no effect on the tissue levels of dopamine and HVA in the brain regions tested ([Table 1,](#page-4-0) saline challenge), although, in the extracellular level, l-deprenyl treatment alone was reported to increase striatal dopamine ([Melega et al., 199](#page-6-0)9; Finberg et al., 2000). The present finding that l-deprenyl treatment alone did not change tissue dopamine level ([Table 1,](#page-4-0) saline challenge) was similar to the previous report that methamphetamine per se did not increase striatal dopamine level ([Kitanaka et al., 2003\)](#page-6-0). The effective concentrations of PEA might increase under the blockade of MAO-B by MAO inhibitors ([Suzuki and Yagi, 1976; Philips and Boulton, 1979\)](#page-6-0), resulting in an enhancement of dopamine release into synaptic cleft [\(Parker and Cubeddu, 1988\)](#page-6-0) associated with the decrease in the tissue level of dopamine ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0).

In the case of amphetamines, the stimulant-induced behavioral changes in mammals are dependent primarily upon an increase in brain dopamine turnover ([Randrup and Munkva](#page-6-0)d, 1970; Robinson and Becker, 1986). In addition, METH (20 mg/kg, s.c.)-induced self-injurious behavior in mice was abolished by haloperidol $(0.01-1 \text{ mg/kg}, \text{ i.p.})$ in a dosedependent manner ([Mori et al., 2004\)](#page-6-0). These observations suggest that dopamine neurotransmission plays an important role in METH-induced behavioral changes. Similarly, it has been postulated that PEA-induced stereotypy is controlled by increased dopamine turnover ([Paterson et al., 1990; Ber](#page-6-0)ry, 2004). However, the present study did not provide evidence for this, since no significant alterations in DOPAC or HVA were observed ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0).

There is evidence that PEA induces dopamine release in rat caudate nucleus in vivo (Bailey et al., 1987), in rat nucleus accumbens in vivo ([Nakamura et al., 1998\)](#page-6-0), and in rabbit striatal slices in vitro ([Parker and Cubeddu, 1988\)](#page-6-0). Furthermore, PEA-induced dopamine release disappeared almost completely in the striatum of mice lacking DAT ([Sotniko](#page-6-0)va et al., 2004), similar to the case of d-amphetamine ([Jones et a](#page-6-0)l., 1998). These observations suggest that the mechanisms by which PEA and amphetamines produce stereotypy may overlap

through a primary action on the DAT. The present study is most consistent with the hypothesis that PEA acts to increase extracellular dopamine level in the striatum, and that l-deprenyl potentiates the effect by blocking dopamine degradation via MAO, resulting in the decrease in the striatal dopamine level. However, other mechanism(s) are also proposed for the action of PEA because of the inhibitory effect of PEA on hyperactivity and stereotypy observed in DAT-knockout mice [\(So](#page-6-0)tnikova et al., 2004).

Of observed components of the PEA-induced stereotypy, head bobbing, abnormal posture with hindlimbs splaying out, and reciprocal forepaw padding are classified as ''5-HT behavioral syndrome'' (Dourish, 1981). The possible involvement of 5-HT neurotransmission in the PEA-induced behavioral changes is, therefore, suggested (Dourish, 1981; Paterson et al., 1990). However, no changes in the striatal levels of 5-HT and its metabolite 5-hydroxyindolacetic acid were observed (data not shown), suggesting that the PEA-induced increase in continuous sniffing is primarily under the control of striatal dopamine neurotransmission. In rats, haloperidol (0.25 mg/kg, i.p.) completely abolished chronic PEA (50 mg/kg/day, i.p., for four weeks)-induced stereotypy, supporting the involvement of dopamine neurotransmission in PEA-induced stereotypy (Borison et al., 1977).

In conclusion, the present study indicated that: (1) PEA in combination with increasing doses of l-deprenyl decreased the level of dopamine in the striatum of the mouse, and (2) prolonged the duration of the stereotyped behavior. The stimulated dopamine release in the striatum might induce the prolonged expression of continuous sniffing.

Acknowledgement

NK was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Researchers, Hyogo College of Medicine.

References

- Bailey BA, Philips SR, Boulton AA. In vivo release of endogenous dopamine, 5-hydroxytryptamine and some of their metabolites from rat caudate nucleus by phenylethylamine. Neurochem Res 1987;12:173 – 8.
- Berry MD. Mammalian central nervous system trace amines Pharmacologic amphetamines, physiologic neuromodulators. J Neurochem 2004;90: $257 - 71.$
- Borison RL, Havdala HS, Diamond BI. Chronic phenylethylamine stereotypy in rats: a new animal model for schizophrenia? Life Sci 1977;21:117 – 22.
- Boulton AA, Juorio AV, Paterson IA. Phenylethylamine in the CNS: effects of monoamine oxidase inhibiting drugs, deuterium substitution and lesions and its role in the neuromodulation of catecholaminergic neurotransmission. J Neural Transm (Suppl) 1990;29:119 – 29.
- Braestrup C, Andersen H, Randrup A. The monoamine oxidase B inhibitor deprenyl potentiates phenylethylamine behavior in rats without inhibition of catecholamine metabolite formation. Eur J Pharmacol 1975;34:181-7.
- Dourish CT. Behavioural effects of acute and chronic beta-phenylethylamine administration in the rat: evidence for the involvement of 5-hydroxytryptamine. Neuropharmacology 1981;20:1067-72.
- Dourish CT. A pharmacological analysis of the hyperactivity syndrome induced by beta-phenylethylamine in the mouse. Br J Pharmacol 1982;77:129 – 39.
- Finberg JP, Lamensdorf I, Armoni T. Modification of dopamine release by selective inhibitors of MAO-B. Neurobiology (Budapest) 2000;8:137-42.
- Gainetdinov RR, Mohn AR, Caron MG. Genetic animal models: focus on schizophrenia. Trends Neurosci 2001;24:527 – 33.
- Gerlach M, Youdim MBH, Riederer P. Pharmacology of selegiline. Neurology 1996;47:S137 – 45.
- Jackson DM. The effect of beta-phenethylamine upon spontaneous motor activity in mice: a dual effect on locomotor activity. J Pharm Pharmacol 1972;24:383 – 9.
- Jones SR, Gainetdinov RR, Wightman RM, Caron MG. Mechanisms of amphetamine action revealed in mice lacking the dopamine transporter. J Neurosci 1998;18:1979 – 86.
- Kitanaka N, Kitanaka J, Takemura M. Behavioral sensitization and alteration in monoamine metabolism in mice after single versus repeated methamphetamine administration. Eur J Pharmacol 2003;474:63 – 70.
- Kitanaka N, Kitanaka J, Takemura M. Inhibition of methamphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion in mice by clorgyline, a monoamine oxidase-A inhibitor, through alteration of the 5-hydroxytryptamine turnover in the striatum. Neuroscience 2005;130:295 – 308.
- Lapin IP. Antagonism by CPP, (\pm) -3-(2-carboxypiperazin-4-yl)-propyl-1-phosphonic acid, of beta-phenylethylamine (PEA)-induced hypermotility in mice of different strains. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1996;55:175-8.
- Melega WP, Cho AK, Schmitz D, Kuczenski R, Segal DS. l-Methamphetamine pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics for assessment of in vivo deprenyl-derived l-methamphetamine. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1999;288: $752 - 8.$
- Moja EA, Stoff DM, Gillin JC, Wyatt RJ. Dose response effects of betaphenylethylamine on stereotyped behavior in pargyline-pretreated rats. Biol Psychiatry 1976;11:731 – 42.
- Mori T, Itoh S, Kita T, Sawaguchi T. Effects of dopamine- and serotonin-related compounds on methamphetamine-induced self-injurious behavior in mice. J Pharmacol Sci 2004;96:459 – 64.
- Nakamura M, Ishii A, Nakahara D. Characterization of beta-phenylethylamineinduced monoamine release in rat nucleus accumbens: a microdialysis study. Eur J Pharmacol 1998;349:163 – 9.
- Ortmann R, Schaub M, Felner A, Lauber J, Christen P, Waldmeier PC. Phenylethylamine-induced stereotypies in the rat: a behavioral test system for assessment of MAO-B inhibitors. Psychopharmacology 1984;84:22 – 7.
- Parker EM, Cubeddu LX. Comparative effects of amphetamine, phenylethylamine and related drugs on dopamine efflux, dopamine uptake and mazindol binding. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1988;245:199 – 210.
- Paterson IA, Juorio AV, Boulton AA. 2-Phenylethylamine: a modulator of catecholamine transmission in the mammalian central nervous system? J Neurochem 1990;55:1827 – 37.
- Philips SR, Boulton AA. The effect of monoamine oxidase inhibitors on some arylalkylamines in rat striatum. J Neurochem 1979;33:159 – 67.
- Potkin SG, Karoum F, Chuang LW, Cannon-Spoor HE, Phillips I, Wyatt RJ. Phenylethylamine in paranoid chronic schizophrenia. Science 1979;206: $470 - 1$.
- Randrup A, Munkvad I. Biochemical, anatomical and psychological investigations of stereotyped behavior induced by amphetamines. In: Costa E, Garattini S, editors. Amphetamines and related compounds. New York' Raven Press; 1970. p. 695 – 713.
- Robinson TE, Becker JB. Enduring changes in brain and behavior produced by chronic amphetamine administration: a review and evaluation of animal models of amphetamine psychosis. Brain Res Rev 1986;11:157 – 98.
- Sabelli HC, Borison RL, Diamond BI, Havdala HS, Narasimhachari N. Phenylethylamine and brain function. Biochem Pharmacol 1978;27: $1707 - 11$
- Seiden LS, Sabol KE, Ricaurte GA. Amphetamine: effects on catecholamine systems and behavior. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 1993;32:639 – 77.
- Sotnikova TD, Budygin EA, Jones SR, Dykstra LA, Caron MG, Gainetdinov RR. Dopamine transporter-dependent and -independent actions of trace amine beta-phenylethylamine. J Neurochem 2004;91:362 – 73.
- Suzuki O, Yagi K. A fluorometric assay for beta-phenylethylamine in rat brain. Anal Biochem 1976;75:192 – 200.
- Tatsuta T, Kitanaka N, Kitanaka J, Morita Y, Takemura M. Effects of monoamine oxidase inhibitors on methamphetamine-induced stereotypy in mice and rats. Neurochem Res, in press.
- Tima´r J, Knoll B. The effect of repeated administration of (-)deprenyl on the phenylethylamine-induced stereotypy in rats. Arch Int Pharmacodyn $1986:279:50 - 60$
- Weinshenker D, Miller NS, Blizinsky K, Laughlin ML, Palmiter RD. Mice with chronic norepinephrine deficiency resemble amphetamine-sensitized animals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;21:13873 – 7.
- Yang HYT, Neff NH. Beta-phenylethylamine: a specific substrate for type B monoamine oxidase of brain. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1973;187:365-71.
- Yoshimoto S, Kaku H, Shimogawa S, Watanabe A, Nakagawara M, Takahashi R. Urinary trace amine excretion and platelet monoamine oxidase activity in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 1987;21:229 – 36.